

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,340	09/11/2003	David L. Patton	86552DMW	5136
7	590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
Thomas H. Close			SMITH, ARTHUR A	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2851	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Me	/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/660,340	PATTON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arthur A Smith	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11 September 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all			
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 1.	miner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2003 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			)-
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	•	at received	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	or received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		r Summary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/11/03.</li> </ol>		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 2851

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

Reference WO 01/13301 was not considered since a copy was not filed with the application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-15, 17-19, 21-27, 29-33, 35-38, 40-42 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sprogis (US 2004/00478268 A1).

In reference to claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 18, 19, 21, 22, 26, 30-33, 35-37, 41, 42 and 47, Sprogis discloses a method for scheduling motion picture and promotional content to an audience at an exhibitor site, paragraph 29, the method comprising: assembling an electronic play list for the motion picture and for related promotional content, said play list identifying at least one time slot for presentation of promotional content, paragraphs 95, 101 and 114; posting an electronic notice soliciting a bid from an advertisement provider for purchase of said at least one time slot (posting is continuous since all accounts can see available slots note specific postings made when

Art Unit: 2851

slot filled or more space becomes available, paragraph 122); in response to a received bid, associating an advertisement from said advertisement provider with said at least one time slot in said play list, paragraph 122; and acquiring said advertisement electronically from said advertisement provider, paragraph 33.

In reference to claims 2, 3, 5, 7 13-15, 23-25, 27, 29, 38, 40 and 44-46, Sprogis discloses obtaining an audience metric associated with said exhibitor site; and providing said audience metric electronically to said advertisement provider, paragraph 35 and 109.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-15, 17-19, 21-27, 29-33, 35-38, 40-42 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Novation, Novad Leisure-Edition for Digital Cinema Advertising (Date of web page, 3/4/03, determined using <a href="https://www.waybackmachine.org">www.waybackmachine.org</a> and does not represent the absolute earliest publication date).

In reference to claims 1, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 18, 19, 21, 22, 26, 30-33, 35-37, 41, 42 and 47, Novation discloses a method for scheduling motion picture and promotional content to an audience at an exhibitor site, the method comprising: assembling an electronic play list for the motion picture and for related promotional content, said play list identifying at least one time slot for presentation of promotional content; posting an electronic notice soliciting a bid from an advertisement provider for purchase of said at least one time slot (posting is continuous); in response to a received bid, associating an advertisement from said advertisement provider with said at least one time slot in said

Art Unit: 2851

play list; and acquiring said advertisement electronically from said advertisement provider, see entire document.

In reference to claims 2, 3, 5, 7 13-15, 23-25, 27, 29, 38, 40 and 44-46, Sprogis discloses obtaining an audience metric associated with said exhibitor site; and providing said audience metric electronically to said advertisement provider, paragraph 35 and 109.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6, 10, 16, 20, 28, 34, 39 and 43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Novation, Novad Leisure-Edition for Digital Cinema Advertising.

In reference to claims 6, 16, 28, Novation does not disclose wherein the step of inserting a new time slot is performed by an operator. Instead, Novation discloses that the time slots are generated through automation (software). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that time slots could be generated manually. Broadly switching between mechanical or automatic means involves only routine skill in the art.

In reference to claims 10, 20, 34, 39, Novation does not disclose wherein advertising rate is based on an audience metric. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to realize that the rate of advertising

Art Unit: 2851

could be linked to the audience metric. This involves only simple economic principles. For example, advertising spots at the Super Bowl are sold at a premium because of previous data show the high volume of users.

In reference to claim 43, Novation does not specifically disclose wherein the commands are entered on a PDA. However, Novation does disclose that the entire system is accessible through the internet. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to thus realize that commands could be entered through a PDA since like a standard computer a PDA also has the capability of communicating through the internet.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thieste et al. (US 20040181819 A1) discloses a method for create a play list for a digital theatre in which advertisers are able to electronically purchase and transmit the advertisements. Eldering (US 6324519 B1) discloses a method that allows advertisers to electronic bid on space allocations during a television program to secure the space so that there advertisement can be electronic allotted that space. Avica masters Digital Cinema from post production to digital cinema delivery systems, shows the general architecture of a digital cinema.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur A Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272 2129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM to

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/660,340

Art Unit: 2851

5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays during the same

hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (572) 272 2258. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur A. Smith

September 30, 2004